

MICHIGAN PUBLIC POLICY SURVEY (MPPS) LOCAL GOVERNMENT DATA-DRIVEN DECISION MAKING FALL 2011

For more information, please contact: closup-mpps@umich.edu / (734) 647-4091

	start, please confirm What type of jurisdiction do you represer	nt?										
	County Township	What is the jurisdicti	on's name	?								
	☐ City ☐ Village	(If not a county) In w	hat county	y is it located?								
		What position do you	ı hold?									
we me pol	ere is a growing push across the state to ell as data about other comparable units for easures such as tons of trash collected, er blicy, and management decisions, to plan f aking is sometimes referred to as "perforn	or "benchmarking" performance or mergency response times, etc. Loc or the future and to improve gover	costs. The cal govern	ere are many types ments can then usonsparency and acc	of such data, in this data to hel ountability. This	cluding for example, p make budget, data-driven decision						
Q2.	2. Some local governments use internal data that measure their own operations; some use external data about other local governments for comparing their performance or costs against that of other units. Meanwhile, some local governments don't use data in these ways. What about your jurisdiction? Do you use such data? (check all that apply)											
	Yes, we use external da	ata regarding our own operations ata about other governments about our own or other governmen	ts' operat	ions (Go to Q17)							
	☐ Don't Know											
	PLEASE NOTE: RESPONDENTS WHO A	NSWERED "NO" IN Q2 ABOVE SH	OULD NO	W <u>SKIP</u> TO <u>QUEST</u>	ION 17 OF THE C	QUESTIONNAIRE						
Q3.	(If you selected "use internal," "use external" others use it in a systematic way as part approaches best describes your jurisdict	of a formal program of performance										
		in ad hoc basis, but not systematic a formal, systematic program of po		e measurement and	d management fo	or some or all of						
	☐ Don't Know											
Q4.	Approximately how long has your jurisdie	ction been using performance mea	sures?									
	Less Than One Year ☐	Between One and Five Years		Longer Than Five \	/ears	Don't Know						
Q5.	(If you selected "use internal data" in Q2) Pregarding your internal operations (or op			-								
		Evi		Somewhat	Not At All	Don't Know						
	Measures of inputs		tensively	_	<u>_</u>							
	Measures of inputs (amount of resources used, such as n Measures of workload											
	(amount of resources used, such as n Measures of workload (such as number of fire runs, tons of t	number of fire engines)										
	(amount of resources used, such as n Measures of workload	number of fire engines)										
	(amount of resources used, such as n Measures of workload (such as number of fire runs, tons of t Measures of efficiency or unit cost (such as cost per fire run, cost of providing trash collection services per	number of fire engines) rash collected, etc.)										
	(amount of resources used, such as n Measures of workload (such as number of fire runs, tons of t Measures of efficiency or unit cost (such as cost per fire run, cost of providing trash collection services per Measures of effectiveness (quantifiable results of a program, such	rash collected, etc.) capita, etc.)										
	(amount of resources used, such as n Measures of workload (such as number of fire runs, tons of t Measures of efficiency or unit cost (such as cost per fire run, cost of providing trash collection services per Measures of effectiveness (quantifiable results of a program, suc decrease in crime, increase in park at	rash collected, etc.) capita, etc.)										
	(amount of resources used, such as n Measures of workload (such as number of fire runs, tons of t Measures of efficiency or unit cost (such as cost per fire run, cost of providing trash collection services per Measures of effectiveness (quantifiable results of a program, suc decrease in crime, increase in park at Measures of citizen satisfaction (citizen ratings of programs, such as r	rash collected, etc.) r capita, etc.) ch as tendance, etc.)										
	(amount of resources used, such as n Measures of workload (such as number of fire runs, tons of t Measures of efficiency or unit cost (such as cost per fire run, cost of providing trash collection services per Measures of effectiveness (quantifiable results of a program, suc decrease in crime, increase in park at Measures of citizen satisfaction	rash collected, etc.) r capita, etc.) ch as tendance, etc.)										
Q 6.	(amount of resources used, such as not Measures of workload (such as number of fire runs, tons of the Measures of efficiency or unit cost (such as cost per fire run, cost of providing trash collection services per Measures of effectiveness (quantifiable results of a program, such decrease in crime, increase in park at Measures of citizen satisfaction (citizen ratings of programs, such as recomplaints received or survey reports (If you selected "use internal data" in Q2) Head of the control of the	rash collected, etc.) capita, etc.) ch as tendance, etc.) number of of satisfaction) ow were your jurisdiction's perform	mance me									
Q6.	(amount of resources used, such as n Measures of workload (such as number of fire runs, tons of t Measures of efficiency or unit cost (such as cost per fire run, cost of providing trash collection services per Measures of effectiveness (quantifiable results of a program, such decrease in crime, increase in park at Measures of citizen satisfaction (citizen ratings of programs, such as n complaints received or survey reports (If you selected "use internal data" in Q2) How Developed in-house by jurison Designed by a consultant Patterned after an available in Developed with assistance or	rash collected, etc.) capita, etc.) ch as tendance, etc.) number of of satisfaction) ow were your jurisdiction's performatication's own employees/staff/office	mance me	asures developed?	□ □ □ □ □ □ (check all that ap	pply)						
Q 6.	(amount of resources used, such as n Measures of workload (such as number of fire runs, tons of t Measures of efficiency or unit cost (such as cost per fire run, cost of providing trash collection services per Measures of effectiveness (quantifiable results of a program, such decrease in crime, increase in park at Measures of citizen satisfaction (citizen ratings of programs, such as n complaints received or survey reports (If you selected "use internal data" in Q2) Howard Developed in-house by jurisour Designed by a consultant Patterned after an available in Developed with assistance or	rash collected, etc.) rash collected, etc.) rash as tendance, etc.) number of of satisfaction) ow were your jurisdiction's performatication's own employees/staff/officenodel forganizations such as MAC, MML	mance me	asures developed?	□ □ □ □ □ □ (check all that ap	pply)						
	(amount of resources used, such as not Measures of workload (such as number of fire runs, tons of the Measures of efficiency or unit cost (such as cost per fire run, cost of providing trash collection services per Measures of effectiveness (quantifiable results of a program, such decrease in crime, increase in park at Measures of citizen satisfaction (citizen ratings of programs, such as not complaints received or survey reports) (If you selected "use internal data" in Q2) Head of the patterned after an available in Developed with assistance of Other (please specify)	rash collected, etc.) recapita, etc.) ch as tendance, etc.) number of of satisfaction) ow were your jurisdiction's perform diction's own employees/staff/office model f organizations such as MAC, MML	mance me ials	asures developed? egional council (e.g	(check all that ap	oply)						

Q8. How would you rate the overall effectiveness of your	jurisdiction's	use of data fo	•	purposes?			
	Very Effective	Somewhat Effective	Neither Effective Nor Ineffective	Somewhat Ineffective	Very Ineffective	Not Applicable	Don't Know
Improving management decisions							
Guiding budgeting decisions							
Identifying cost savings or program efficiencies							
Improving program or service quality							
Guiding individual program/department planning							
Guiding jurisdiction's overall							
strategic planning (more than one year into the future)	П		П				
Guiding compensation decisions for employees	- Fi	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē		
For use in negotiating with unions							
Improving communication with your jurisdiction's legislative					- F		
Improving government accountability and transparency							
Improving government accountability and transparency				H	H		П
For use in public relations/promoting your jurisdiction							
for tourism, economic development, etc.							
Q9. Does your jurisdiction ever publicly share its perform		_			_		_
the business community, citizens' groups, etc.)?		☐ Don't K	'now				
	diation nublial	_		•2 (abook all t	hat annly)		
Q10. (If you selected "yes" in Q9) How does your juris	uiction publicl	y snare its pe	riormance data	a: (cneck all t	нат арріу)		
 ☐ Jurisdiction-wide periodic or annual reports ☐ Specific agency/program/department period ☐ Press releases ☐ Government newsletters to citizens, stakeh 	dic or annual r	•	Local go Other (pl	vernment per ease specify)	I government formance das		
Q11. We are interested in sources of support or opposition following groups either support or oppose the use of					n your opinio	n, which of tl	пе
	0,	mewhat N Support	either Support Nor Oppose	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Not Applicable	Don't Know
The majority of your jurisdiction's council/board							
The majority of your jurisdiction's managers							
The majority of your jurisdiction's							
non-managerial employees							
The majority of your jurisdiction's citizens							
The majority of your jurisdiction's business community							
Q12. To what extent, if any, would you say that the follow	ina ara probla	me that your	iuriadiatian ha	s food within	the leat 12 m	antha in ita	uoo of
data and performance measures?	ing are proble	ilis tilat your	jurisuiction nas	s laceu williii	i ille last 12 li	ionins in its	use or
data and performance measures:	A Signific	cant Somew	hat Not Mu	ich of Not	a Problem	Not	Don't
	_	m of a Prob				Applicable	Know
Costs required to collect and use performance data							
Ability to obtain external data regarding other jurisdictions]			
Ability to analyze and make sense of performance data							
Ability to tie performance data to jurisdiction's goals		<u> </u>					
Ability to keep performance measures current Ability to implement change in response to data findings	_	H	-	1	H	H	H
Ability to implement change in response to data infamgs	_		_	1	ш	ш	ш
Q13. Overall, do you agree or disagree that performance	measurement	and manager	ment activities	are worthwhi	le for?		
	Stron	_	vhat Neither	Agree So		Strongly	Don't
	Agre	e Agre	e Nor Dis	agree D	isagree I	Disagree	Know
your jurisdiction]			
local governments in general]			
							_
Q14. How likely is it that your jurisdiction will either cut b							
Likely to Likely to Reduce Completely Eliminate Somewhat	No Chang Expected		Likely to expand Somew		Likely to nd Significan	Don'i tly Knov	
				пас Ехра			v
	_		_		_	_	
Q15. (If you selected "eliminate," "reduce," or "expand" in		you think it is	likely that you	r jurisdiction	will change t	he level of its	S
performance measurement and management a	ctivities?						
O16 Reced on your jurisdiction's experience to date with	at europetion	would you a	ive to other i	iedictions wh	o are oither !	nokina to int	roduce
Q16. Based on your jurisdiction's experience to date, who new measures or to improve their current use of date.			ive to other jur	เอนเซนซกร Wn	o are either i	ooking to int	ouuce
new measures or to improve their current use of da	III UCCISIUII	uning:					

	OII	HERWISE, RESPONDENTS WHO <u>SKIPP</u>	<u>'EU</u> THE FIR	STIWOPAG	ES SHOULD	CONTINUE WITH	H QUESTION	<u>17</u>	
		ino" in Q2) Even though your jurisdiction n engage in data-driven decision makin Yes No Don't Know				our own or othe	r governmen	ts' operatior	ns, did
Q18.	(If you seled	cted "yes" in Q17) Why did your jurisdic	tion stop its	data-driven d	ecision maki	ng activities?			
	()					3 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
						_			
Q19. Look	king ahead,	is your jurisdiction considering potent			cision makin	g?			
	H	Yes, we are considering new uses of No, we are not considering new uses		•	g (Go to	Q25)			
		Don't Know							
	way as par	cted "yes" in Q19) Some local jurisdictio t of a formal program of performance r how your jurisdiction would most likely	neasuremen	it and manage					matic
		We would most likely use some					maaaliramar	at and	
		We would most likely use data as management for some or all of o			ilic program	oi periormance	measuremer	it and	
		☐ Don't Know							
Q21.	(If you selec	cted "yes" in Q19) How likely or unlikely	is it that yoι	ır jurisdiction	would use da	ata for the follow	ving purpose	s?	
			Very Likely	Somewhat Likely	Likely Nor Unlikely	Somewhat Unlikely	Very Unlikely	Not Applicable	Don't Know
•		ement decisions							
	ng budgeting	g decisions vings or program efficiencies							
		n or service quality							
	•	program/department planning							
	ng jurisdictio aic plannina	n's overall (more than one year into the future)		П		П			П
		ation decisions for employees				- i			
		iting with unions							
		nication with your jurisdiction's legislative ment accountability and transparency	body 🔲						
		rticipation among the public			H	H			
For us	se in public r	elations/promoting your jurisdiction							
for tou	ırısm, econo	mic development, etc.							

 $\underline{\textbf{PLEASE NOTE}}\text{: RESPONDENTS WHO }\underline{\textbf{COMPLETED}}\text{ THE FIRST TWO PAGES SHOULD NOW }\underline{\textbf{SKIP}}\text{ TO }\underline{\textbf{QUESTION 28}}\text{ OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE}$

(Q22.	(If you selec	cted "yes" in Q19) How is your jur	isdiction mos	t likely to d	evelop its da	ata and pe	rformance n	neasures? (d	check all that a	apply)
			Developed in-house by jurisdic Designed by a consultant Patterned after an available mo Developed with assistance of o Other (please specify)	del	such as MA	C, MML, MTA	A, a regioi	nal council (e.g. SEMCO	G, NEMCOG),	etc.
			Don't Know								
(Q23.		cted "yes" in Q19) What types of e				ld be mos	st valuable to	o help your ji	urisdiction in	stitute
			Access to local or regional perf Access to state performance da Access to national performance Access to consultants for design Models or templates for design Training on the collection, analy Funding support to help develo	formance data ata for benchn e data for ben gning performan ysis and use o	n from other narking chmarking ance measur nce measur of performa ement perfo	r jurisdictions ures es nce measure ormance mea	es	chmarking			
			Don't Know								
•	Q24.		cted "yes" in Q19) Overall, in your iction's decision making within t Somewhat Likely		onths? ly S	nlikely is it th omewhat Unlikely		ırisdiction w Very Unlikely	ill adopt new Don't Know	<i>ı</i> uses of data	a in
Q25.	juris	sdiction is c	d in sources of support or oppos urrently considering new uses o of data-driven decision making	f data in decis	sion making	y, in your opi	nion, whi				Don't Know
			ur jurisdiction's council/board ur jurisdiction's managers								
		najority of yo nanagerial e	ur jurisdiction's mployees	П	П	Г	7	П	П	П	П
_	The r	najority of yo	ur jurisdiction's citizens ur jurisdiction's business communit	v 🗆							
Q26.			hether or not your jurisdiction is	s for your juri				formance me		ctent, if any, v	would Don't
	Costs	s required to	collect and use performance data		-	of a Problem	a Prob		At All	Applicable	Know
	Ability	y to obtain ex	ternal data regarding other jurisdictand make sense of performance da								
	Ability	y to keep per	mance data to jurisdiction's goals formance measures current nt change in response to data findir	ngs							
Q27.	Ove	rall, do you	agree or disagree that performa		nent and m Strongly Agree	anagement a Somewhat Agree	Activities Neither A	Agree S	rthwhile for. omewhat Disagree	? Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
			urisdiction governments in general								
		iUCdI	governments in general		Ц	Ц			Ц	П	Ц

	PLEASE	NOTE: ALL RESPON	DENTS SHOULD PL	EASE PROCE	ED WITH TH	ESE FINAL 3 PAG	ES OF THE QUE	STIONNAIRE	
go str	vernment "p engths, pub	Snyder recently presoperformance dashboardlic safety, quality of linne dashboard."	rd," a public summa	ry of importan	t financial a	nd operating mea	sures such as fi	scal stability, ed	onomic
Но	w effective	or ineffective do you t	hink a local governi	•					
				Very Effective	Somewhat Effective	Neither Effective Nor Ineffective	Somewhat Ineffective	Very Ineffective	Don't Know
		urisdiction's accountabi							
		urisdiction's performand urisdiction's ability to be							
		mpare itself with other ju							
Q29		cted "somewhat ineffec e in helping to improv							e
Q30. Whi	ch of the fo	llowing statements be	est describes the sta	itus of a perfo	rmance dasi	hboard in your jur	isdiction?		
Q31		We have already provided have not yet provided have not produced by the have not produced the have already produced formance dashboard was the have already produced for the have alrea	oduced a performan oduced a performan ced a performance of "in Q30) How likely	ce dashboard ce dashboard lashboard and r is it that your	but are diss but are plan do not plan	satisfied with its m ning to do so with to produce one w	neasurement cat nin the next 12 m vithin the next 12	egories conths 2 months	ries
	on its pen	Very	Somewhat	Neither Likel	v Son	newhat	Very	Don't	
		Likely	Likely	Nor Unlikely	•		nlikely	Know	
		_	_	_		_	_	_	
the The	most impo e Snyder ad	der's administration ha rtant financial measur ministration is also er or ineffective do you t	es, including unfun- ncouraging each Mid	ded liabilities- chigan local go le to local gov	that is desi overnment to ernment fina	igned to be easily o produce its own ances would be in	understood by t "citizen's guide helping to impre	he average citiz ." ove?	zen.
				Very Effective	Somewhat Effective	Neither Effective Nor Ineffective	Somewhat Ineffective	Very Ineffective	Don't Know
		urisdiction's accountabi urisdiction's performand							
		urisdiction's ability to be							
	or cor	mpare itself with other ju	urisdictions						
		ed "somewhat ineffective in helping to							
Q35. Whi	ch of the fo	llowing statements be	est describes the sta	atus of a citize	n's guide in	your jurisdiction?	•		
		We have already provided the have already provided the have not yet provided the have not product the have now the have already provided the have al	oduced a citizen's g oduced a citizen's g	uide but are d uide but are pl	issatisfied wanning to do	vith its measurements so within the nex	ent categories xt 12 months	ories	
		s. (If you selected "we have the measurement of					on will significa	ntly	
		Very Likely □	Somewhat Likely	Neither Likel Nor Unlikely	Un		Very nlikely	Don't Know □	
Q37	. (If you sele	ected "do not plan to pro	oduce" in Q35) If you	r jurisdiction o	loes not pla	n to produce a cit	izen's guide, wh	y not?	

Q38.	Most Michigan local governments receive constitutional revenue sharing from the state on a per capita basis. In addition, some have received statutory revenue sharing funds based on a set of formulas.										
		tate has recently enacted a new program called the Economic Vitality Incentive Program (EVIP). EVIP replaces the former statutory ue sharing program. How familiar are you with the Economic Vitality Incentive Program?									
			Somewhat famil Mostly unfamilia		f it, and understand its ma it, but know very little abo	ajor points, but don't know many details out it					
			Don't Know								
Q39.	only some	of th	ose jurisdictions		e in FY2010 are now eligib	n Fiscal Year 2010. According to the new EVIP legislation, le to receive revenue sharing through EVIP. Do you know if	:				
					EVIP-based revenue sharir or EVIP-based revenue sha						
			Don't Know								
Q40. (If you selected "yes" in Q39) The EVIP revenue sharing funds are split into three categories: one based on accounts transparency, one based on intergovernmental collaboration/consolidation/service sharing, and one based on em compensation practices. Local governments that are eligible for EVIP revenue sharing funds can seek those fund categories, or in only one or two of them. In order to receive the EVIP revenue sharing funds related to accountability and transparency, eligible jurisdiction they have produced a performance dashboard and a citizen's guide to local government finances by October 1, 20											
		jurist	[[Tritilad produced a ☐ Yes	□ No	and citizen's guide as of October 1, 2011? ☐ Don't Know					
	Q41.	shari to inc	ng, eligible jurisc crease existing le	lictions must certify vels of cooperation	y they have a plan in place n, collaboration, and conso	naring funds related to collaboration/consolidation/service with one or more proposals to either launch new efforts or olidation, either within the jurisdiction or with other ill certify in this category by January 1, 2012?					
			Γ	Yes	□ No	☐ Don't Know					
	Q42.	comp	ensation, eligible	e jurisdictions mus premiums and pos 1, 2012?	t certify they have a plan i t-employment benefits by	naring funds regarding best practices in employee n place to meet certain state-specified requirements in May 1, 2012. Do you expect your jurisdiction will certify in					
			L	Yes	□ No	☐ Don't Know					
		Q43.	or more of the E	VIP categories. Has	s your jurisdiction already	that your jurisdiction has certified or is likely to certify in on encountered, or do you expect it will encounter, any ne EVIP categories? If so, please describe the difficulties	e				
		Q44.			242) You have indicated the less. Please describe why r	hat your jurisdiction has not certified or is not likely to certif not.	у Т				

Q45.	45. Beyond revenue sharing, the Economic Vitality Incentive Program (EVIP) also has additional funds for assistance grants available to all Michigan city, village, township, and county governments to offset costs associated with mergers, interlocal agreements, and cooperative efforts to combine government operations that occur on or after October 1, 2011. How familiar are you with this section of the EVIP?										perative
 Very familiar − I know a great deal about it Somewhat familiar − I have heard of it, and understand its major points, but don't know many details Mostly unfamiliar − I have heard of it, but know very little about it Completely unfamiliar − I have never heard of it 									tails		
			Don't Know								
Q46.	How	likely is it very Likely		sdiction will app Somewhat Likely	Neit	e of these EVIP of her Likely Unlikely	grants? Somewhat Unlikely	Very Unlike		Don't Know	
	047	(If you calc	atad "aamawb	at unlikalu" ar "va	m mlil.coli	." in O46) Why in	your jurisdiction		lu for on EV	ID avent?	
		(iii you dolo.		actimitely of ve	y urmiciy	<i></i>	you juniououou	commercy to upp		grunn	
		such as na	ame, email ad	dress, and phor	ne numbe	r for tracking ar		purposes only.		r personal inform onal information v	
	Υοι	ır name					Your phone	number	-		
									·		
	Υοι	ır email add	lress								
									rvey, these a	are optional. Resp	onses
,	WIII D	e reported	in aggregate	torm only so tha	at your in	aividuai respons	ses will remain co	onfidential.			
1	Q49. \	What is you	ır gender?	☐ Male ☐	Female						
	Q50. I	In what yea	ır were you b	orn? 19							
	Q51. I	How many	years have yo	ou served in you	ır current	position?					
	Q52. I	Have you a	ttended any l	ocal governmer	t training	programs or se	eminars in the pa	st 12 months?	☐ Yes	☐ No	
	Q53. <i>I</i>	Are you of	Spanish, Hisp	oanic, or Latino	descent?	☐ Yes [□ No				
	Q54. I			re categories be	low to in	_	e(s) you consider	yourself to be.	(check all th	at apply)	
			Vhite Black or Africar	n American		☐ Asian ☐ Mulitracial					
			American India	n or Alaskan Nati		Other					
		□ N	Native Hawaiia	n or other Pacific	Islander	☐ Don't Know					
	Q55. \		•	ree or level of so	chool you	have completed Bachelor's of					
		□⊦	ligh school gra	aduate or GED		Master's de	gree				
			Some college, Associate degr			☐ Professiona	I/Doctorate degree	9			
	Q56. (speaking, do y Republican	ou think of you		? ndependent		☐ Democrat		☐ Something	Else
	Q57. (an or Democra /ery Strong	at) Would you co		ourself a strong lot Very Strong	or not very stron	g Republican/Do	emocrat?		
	Q58.			ning else) Would							
		_ T	he Democration	c Party	□ 1	he Republican P	arty	Neither			